

Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

Culture Programs Unit
Programs and Services Branch
Culture Division
401 Bay Street, Suite 1700
Toronto ON M7A 0A7
Tel.: (416) 314-7152
Email: Sarah.Roe@ontario.ca

Ministre du Tourisme, de la Culture et du Sport

Unit des programmes culturels
Direction des programmes et des services
Division de culture
401, rue Bay, bureau 1700
Toronto ON M7A 0A7
Tl. : (416) 314-7152
Email: Sarah.Roe@ontario.ca



Sep 23, 2013

Arthur Figura (P083)
Stantec Consulting
600 - 171 Queens London ON N6A 5J7

RE: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment: Jericho Wind, Inc., Jericho Wind Energy Centre, Additional Properties", Dated Aug 15, 2013, Filed with MTCS Toronto Office on Aug 20, 2013, MTCS Project Information Form Number P083-212-2013, MTCS File Number HD00098

Dear Mr. Figura:

This office has reviewed the above-mentioned report, which has been submitted to this ministry as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18.¹ This review has been carried out in order to determine whether the licensed professional consultant archaeologist has met the terms and conditions of their licence, that the licensee assessed the property and documented archaeological resources using a process that accords with the 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists set by the ministry, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations are consistent with the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario.

The report documents the assessment/mitigation of the study area as depicted in Figures 5.1 to 5.7, Methods, and Tile 2.1 to 2.71, Methods and Results of the above titled report and recommends the following:

Location 279 (AgHI-49) fulfills the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 Standard 1c of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, a Stage 3 archaeological assessment is being recommended. Location 280 (AgHI-50) fulfills the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 Standard 1a(i)(3) of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, a Stage 3 archaeological assessment is being recommended. Location 281 (AgHk-159) fulfills the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 Standard 1a(i)(3) of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, a Stage 3 archaeological assessment is being recommended. Location 282 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for Location 282. Location 283 (AgHk-160) fulfills the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 Standard 1a(i)(3) of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, a Stage 3 archaeological assessment is being recommended. Location 284 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for Location 284. Location 285 (AgHk-162) fulfills the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 Standards 1a(i)(1) and 1a(i)(3) of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, a Stage 3 archaeological assessment is being recommended. Location 286 (AgHk-163) fulfills the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 Standards 1a(i)(1) and 1a(i)(3) of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, a Stage 3 archaeological assessment is being recommended. Location 287 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3

archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for Location 287. Location 288 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended. Location 289 (AgHk-164) fulfills the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 Standard 1a(i)(1) of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, a Stage 3 archaeological assessment is being recommended. Location 290 (AgHk-165) fulfills the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 Standard 1a(i)(3) of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, a Stage 3 archaeological assessment is being recommended. Location 291 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for Location 291. Location 292 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for Location 292. Location 293 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for Location 293. Location 294 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for Location 294. Location 295 does not fulfill the criteria for a Stage 3 archaeological work as per Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for Location 295.

Based on the information contained in the report, the ministry is satisfied that the fieldwork and reporting for the archaeological assessment are consistent with the ministry's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists and the terms and conditions for archaeological licences. This report has been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports. Please note that the ministry makes no representation or warranty as to the completeness, accuracy or quality of reports in the register.

Should you require any further information regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,
Sarah Roe
Archaeology Review Officer

cc. Archaeology Licensing Officer
Thomas Bird, NextEra Energy Canada, ULC
Mansoor Mahmood, Section 47.5, Environmental Protection Act, Environmental
Approvals Branch, MOE

¹In no way will the ministry be liable for any harm, damages, costs, expenses, losses, claims or actions that may result: (a) if the Report(s) or its recommendations are discovered to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent; or (b) from the issuance of this letter. Further measures may need to be taken in the event that additional artifacts or archaeological sites are identified or the Report(s) is otherwise found to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent.